

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/807,760 | FITZELL, JAMES RICHARD | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | EDMUND H. LEE | 1732 | |

All Participants:

(1) EDMUND H. LEE.

(2) D. BETTENDORF.

Date of Interview: 14 August 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
THOSE OUTSTANDING IN THE CASE

Claims discussed:
THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE

Prior art documents discussed:
THOSE CITED IN THE CASE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
DISCUSSED THE CLARIFICATION OF CLAIM LANGUAGE IN ORDER TO PLACE THE INSTANT CASE IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. CHANGES WILL BE MADE BY EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT. SEE ATTACHEMENT.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)